# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0115** (RTC-56058) |
| **Related Incident ID** | N/A |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | TAXUD/B3 |
| **CI** | NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 Main Document) |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Control result code ‘A2’ in the IE042 to AES** |
| In the context of Export Followed by Transit, when the control result code is ‘A2’ (in IE018 NCTS), the IE042 (from NCTS-P5 to AES) also needs to contain the control result code ‘A2’ in the IE042 message (not ‘A1’). |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The following mapping is depicted in **Table 7: Export followed by Transit – Correlation of Control Result Codes between the IE018 and IE042 messages**:    The above mapping (A2 🡪 A1) is not correct, since when the IE018 contains control result code ‘A2-Considered Satisfactory’, then the **control result code that should be included in the IE042 should also be ‘A2-Considered Satisfactory’**. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The following update will be performed into the **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document)** (changes are depicted in red colour):   1. **In section ‘III.II.7.4 *Export followed by Transit – Destination Control Results are received from the Office of Destination*’, the following Table will be updated as follows:**      1. **In section ‘III.II.7.4.1 T-TRA-EFT-A-010-*Transit movement having export as previous procedure - Control results from destination indicate major discrepancies - Dispatch of control results information to Office of Exit*’, the following paragraph will be updated as follows:**   If the control result code indicates Satisfactory Control Results (i.e. ‘A1’ or ‘A2’), the control result code for a specific House Consignment that contains an Export MRN can take the following value in the ‘Destination Control Results to AES’ N\_DES\_CON (IE042) message:   * If the control result code is ‘A1’ in the ‘Destination Control Results’ C\_DES\_CON (IE018), then every control result code per House Consignment shall only be ‘A1’ (i.e. no other control result codes are allowed); OR * If the control result code is ‘A2’ in the ‘Destination Control Results’ C\_DES\_CON (IE018), then every control result code per House Consignment shall only be ‘~~A1~~A2’ (i.e. no other control result codes are allowed).   **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**  **No impact on External Domain.**  This RFC-Proposal is considered as a ***likely* documentary improvement** of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document) because the content of CL243 (XFTControlResultCodeDestination) applied on “CC042C/exportOperation/controlResult/Code” is already clear and correct. It is assumed to have no implementation impact for the NAs. The Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM) is already aligned to this text.  If this RFC-Proposal requires a minor correction of the NTA(NCTS-P5), it is considered that the change proposed has no impact on business continuity and no impact on the Common Domain. Consequently, it can be deployed in a **flexible way**.  More specifically:   * If the Office of Departure is not aligned with the RFC-Proposal,   then the incorrect control result code will be included in the IE042 (i.e. ‘A1’) when the IE018 message contains control result code ‘A2’. Even though this is of low impact, the control result code ‘Á1’ is not correct since it indicates to the Office of Exit that control was performed at the Office of Destination and was found satisfactory but this is not exact;   * No extra impact on the open movements (those initiated under the previous DDNTA 5.14.1-v1.00). It continues its flow under the new DDNTA 5.15.0 release.   **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops): As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023 (**flexible**)  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT): N/A  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB): January 2022  **Impact on transition P4-P5**: None  **Consequence of not approving the RFC-Proposal**: Possible issue with the AES/NCTS-P5 interface; confusion when implementing nationally the Export Followed by Transit.  **Risk of not implementing the change**: Low.  Impacted IEs:   * None   Impacted CI Artefacts:  **- DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes;**  **- DDNXA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes;**  **- NECA(AES): to be verified by each MS having already developed the NCTS-P5/AES interface;**  - UCC IA/DA Annex B: No;  - Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30: No;  - DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No;  - DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, PDFs): No;  - CSE-v51.6.0: No;  - DMP Package-5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No;  - CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No;  - ACS-v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No;  - NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No;  - NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: No;  - ieCA 1.0.1.0: No;  - CRP-5.5.0-v1.00: No;  - CS/MIS2\_DATA: No;  - CS/RD2\_DATA: No;  - AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00, Main Document** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in Section 3 - Proposed Solution** | |
| **DDNXA-5.14.1-v1.00, Main Document** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **The change is defined in RFC\_AES\_XXXX\_CUSTDEV-IAR-RTC-56170.** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Likely no impact** (maybe an update of the translated DDNTA Main Document or a minor impact on the national NCTS-P5/AES interface)**.** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | | |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 24/11/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | SfR to NPMs | 29/11/2021 |  |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | *SfA to NPM* |